HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook

December 1996

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration Environment, Fish and Wildlife P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

December 1996

CONTENTS

Section 1	Executive Summary	1-1
Section 2	Facility Description	2-1
Section 3	Compliance Status	3-1
Section 4	Remedial Actions	4-1
Section 5	Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries	5-1
Section 6	Annual Operating Expenditures	6-1

List of Tables

Table

- Summary Program Information for Wells Hatchery Summer Chinook
- 2 Compliance with Performance Measures: Wells Hatchery Summer Chinook
- Remedial Actions Required at Wells Hatchery Summer Chinook
- 4 Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Wells Hatchery Summer Chinook
- 5 Annual Operating Expenses: Wells Hatchery Summer Chinook

6 Annual Operating Expenses - Wells Hatchery

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook program. Wells Hatchery is located along the Columbia River just below Wells Dam. The hatchery is used for adult collection, incubation, and rearing of summer chinook and summer steelhead.

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) ÒStrategy for SalmonÓ and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit.

IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit

focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility managementÕs response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook Results

The Wells facility includes one pond for adult holding, 12 concrete raceways, 3 rearing ponds, and incubation facilities. The hatchery is operated as a mitigation facility for fishery impacts caused by the Wells Dam.

The Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook program was in general compliance with many of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery did not have a monitoring and evaluation plan in place, was not meeting its adult return goal, and needed to document many production performance goals. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the screen approach criteria, water quality monitoring requirements, and pathology-free water criteria, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery needed to develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan and a smoltification goal and monitoring plan. The hatchery also exceeded the flow and loading criteria for incubation and density criteria for rearing. In the compliance area for fish health policy, the hatchery did not have foot baths in the incubation facilities. The hatchery did not have a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program in place.

The specific areas in which the Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
- Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
- Develop alarm log
- Develop approved genetics monitoring and evaluation program
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop training schedule for staff
- Develop written monitoring and evaluation plan for the IHOT Operations Plan
- Document DO and TGP levels
- Document egg take

- Document eyed-egg to fry survival
- Document fry-to-smolt survival
- Document size at release and date of releases
- Document smolt production
- Develop smolt-to-adult survival goal for IHOT
- Document water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants
- Follow IHOT incubator criteria for loading and flow
- Follow IHOT requirements for daily checking of water flow alarms and weekly checking of other alarms
- Install auto dialer and pages
- Install foot baths in incubation facilities
- Install intake screen that meets the current approach and mesh criteria
- Provide training on broodstock collection procedures
- Review IHOT requirement for disease-free water for rearing
- Review IHOT temperature criteria for rearing
- Revise IHOT release goal to match ESA goal
- Split fish earlier to avoid exceeding density index

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Facility Description

Name: Wells Fish Hatchery
Stock/Species: Summer Chinook

Summer Steelhead

Operating Agency: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

Funding Agency: Douglas County PUD

Location: Wells Hatchery is located along the Columbia River just below Wells

Dam

Address: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

HC 88 Azwell RT, Box 2A

Pateros, WA 98846

Hatchery Manager: Mr. Gary Osborne
Phone: (509) 923-2741

Fax: (509) 923-2578

Purpose: The hatchery is operated as a mitigation facility for fishery impacts

caused by the Wells Dam. The mitigation agreement with Douglas

County PUD requires an annual production of 56,200 pounds of

summer steelhead.

Production Goal: Summer Chinook

Produce 320,000 yearling and 484,000 subyearling for on-station

releases

Trap, hold, and spawn adult summer chinook for transfer of 1,300,000

green eggs to Eastbank Hatchery

Provide 2,000 eggs to co-op programs for educational purposes.

Summer Steelhead

Produce 450,000 smolts for on-station release

Produce eyed-eggs for transfer to Eastbank, Chelan, and Winthrop

hatcheries

Water Supply: Average flows available to the hatchery are 78,000 gpm from the

Columbia River and 9,000 gpm from the wells

Facilities:

Adult Holding: 1 concrete adult holding pond - 18,300 cf

Incubation: 46 16 stack vertical incubators (728 trays)

Early Rearing: 42 shallow troughs - 7.2 cf each

Raceways: 8 vinyl coated raceways - 2,700 cf each

4 concrete raceways - 2,250 cf each

Rearing Ponds: 3 concrete rearing ponds, 30,400 cf, 30400 cf, and 25,000 cf

Satellite Facilities: none

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin*Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (referred to as IHOT 1995 in this report).

The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1	Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure
	Information (PMs General 1-2)
Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)
Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit. This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Wells Hatchery was conducted on October 28, 1996.

The following is the five-step audit process:

- 1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
- 2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.
- 3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.

- 4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
- 5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (4) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- N/A (not applicable)
- **Yes** (in compliance)
- ? (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

Table 1 Summary Program Information for Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook

Component	Location of Adult Holding, Spawning, Incubation, and Rearing											
	Wells Hatchery	Eastbank	Similkameen and	Rocky Reach	Turtle Rock							
		Hatechery	Carlton Satellites	Annex	Hatchery							
Adult Collection	4											
Adult Holding	4											
Spawning	4											
Fertilization	4											
Incubation												
green-to-eyed	4	4										
eyed-to-hatch	4	4		4								
Rearing												
fry	4	4		4								
fingerlings	4	4		4								
smolts	4	4			4							
Acclimation/release	4		4		4							

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#1	Are the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin		4			Columbia Basin System Planning	
	management plan?					Production Plan and Wells Mitigation	
						(FERC Agreement)	
#2	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery		4			IHOT Operations Plan	
	operational plan?						
	Is it understood by staff?		4				
	Is it being followed?		4				
#3	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
	Do you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?				4	No information supplied	Develop written monitoring and evaluation plan for the IHOT Operations Plan
#4a	Adult contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and						
	hatchery		4			Review data provided	
#4b	Adult pre-spawning survival as compared with						
	established goal		4			Review of records; in compliance 5 out	
						of last 5 years	

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#4c	Egg-take as compared with established hatchery goal						
				4		No data provided	Document egg take
#4d	Green-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with		4			Review of records; in compliance 3 out	
	established goal					of last 3 years	
#4e	Eyed-egg to fry survival as compared with established goal			4		No data provided	Document eyed-egg to fry survival
#4f	Fry to smolt survival as compared with established goal			4		No data provided	Document fry-to-smolt survival
#4g	Production as compared with established goal			4		No data provided	Document smolt production
#4h	Percent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with established goal			4		Review data for BY85-BY89; no goal provided.	Develop smolt-to-adult survival goal for IHOT
#4i	Number of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults to meet basinwide needs	4				Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Complia	nce Stati	us	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			I	I _	1	Non-Compliance	Compliance
	<u> </u>	N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5a	Temperature						
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for		4			Review of data	
	spawning?						
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for		4			Review of data	
	incubation?						
	incubation.						
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for		<u> </u>	4		Review of data. Ambient Columbia	Review IHOT criteria for rearing
				_			The view into 1 enterial 191 reasing
	rearing?					River water too cold in winter (42 °F)	
#5b	Dissolved gases						
	Is the oxygen level near saturation?			4		No data provided	Document DO concentrations
			ļ				
	Is the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?			4		No data provided	Document TGP levels
#5c	Chemistry						
	Ammonia (un-ionized)			4		No data provided	Run analysis
	Carbon Dioxide			4		No data provided	Run analysis
	Chlorine			4		No data provided	Run analysis
	pH			4		No data provided	Run analysis
	Copper			4		No data provided	Run analysis
	Hydrogen Sulfide			4		No data provided	Run analysis
	Iron			4		No data provided	Run analysis
	Zinc			4		No data provided	Run analysis

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5d	Turbidity						
	Does your turbidity meet the criteria?			4		No data provided	Run analysis

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
					_	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5e	Alkalinity and hardness						
	Does your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?			4		No data provided	Run analysis
#5f	Nitrite						
	Does your nitrite meet the criteria?			4		No data provided	Run analysis
#5g	Contaminants						
	Aldrin			4			
	Endrin			4		No data provided	Run analysis
	Dieldrin			4		No data provided	Run analysis
	Heptachlor			4		No data provided	Run analysis
	Chlordane			4		No data provided	Run analysis
	Methoxychlor			4		No data provided	Run analysis
	Lindane			4		No data provided	Run analysis
	Malathion			4		No data provided	Run analysis
	Guthion			4		No data provided	Run analysis
#5h	Pathogens						
	What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water?						
	mark and the second sec						
	Adult holding		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Incubation		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Early rearing		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Rearing				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Review IHOT requirements for disease-
Others	4					free water for rearing

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Complian	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
				Non-Compliance	Compliance		
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#6	Alarm Systems						
	Do the following areas have alarms?						
	Intake		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Incubation facilities		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Quarantine areas and facilities	4				Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Water treatment systems	4				Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Security		4			Have night watchman	
	Are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite		4			Discussion	
	residences?						
	Are water flow alarms checked daily?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Follow IHOT requirements for daily checking of water flow alarms
	Are all other alarms checked weekly?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Follow IHOT requirements for weekly checking of all other alarms
	Is there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and maintenance requirements?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Develop alarm log

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
	Are telephone pagers used?				4	Discussion	Install auto dialer system and pagers
#7	Adult collection and holding facilities						
	Do you meet the adult holding criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Complia	nce Statı	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#8	Incubation facilities	IN/A	168	•	140		
	Type 1: Vertical tray Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Type 2: N/A Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?	4					
#9	Rearing facilities Type 1: Pond 15 (25x200) Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Type 2: Raceways (10x90) Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Type 3: Pond 16 (25x250) Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
	Type 4: Pond 17 (20x190)		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Do you have an adequate number of units for the						
	overall program?						
#10	Screening facilities						
	Do you meet the approach velocity criteria?				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install intake screens that meet the
							current approach velocity criteria
	Are the fish screens regularly cleaned?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Does the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install intake screens that meet the
							current mesh criteria
	Are rearing containers double screened for fish that	4				Fish released on-station	
	should not be released to adjacent water?						
#11	Predator control facilities						
	Are your predation control facilities effective?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No		Compliance
#12	Food storage facilities and quality control						
	Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	(dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture)						
	follow food manufacturerÕs recommendations?						
	Does a regional quality control officer oversee						
	production procedures and monitor:						
	Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients				4	Discussion	Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed
	meet specifications?						preparation
	Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or				4	Discussion	See above
	other additives?						
	Analyze ingredients contained in the final food				4	Discussion	See above
	product to ensure that feed specifications have been						
	met?						
	Are the foods stored and handled according to the						
	following criteria?						

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No	
Moist pellets should not exceed 10°F at point of		4			Discussion
delivery.					
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.		4			Discussion
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.		4			Discussion
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		4			Discussion
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive	4				Not used for this program
temperatures (80°F and above).					

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#13	Release facilities						
	Do the release facilities ensure that fish are not		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	subjected to adverse conditions?						
#14	Pollution abatement facilities						
	Do the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal	l	4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	and state regulations (or good engineering practice)?						
	Are pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		4			Discussion	
#15	Transportation facilities		'				
	Are the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT	1	4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	performance measures for transportation practices?						

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		27/1	X/4 X/ 0 X/		l	Non-Compliance	Compliance
W1.6	D 14 1 1 4 4	N/A	Yes	?	No		
#16	Broodstock selection practices						
	Is the donor selection process document attached? (PM #40a)	4				Existing program; does not apply	
	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting	4				Existing program; does not apply	
	the hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)						
#17	Spawning practices						
	Were the appropriate number of spawners, male/female				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM # 42
	ratios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)						
#18	Incubation practices						
	Are specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery				4	Review of IHOT Operations Plan	Develop specific incubation standards for
	operations plan?						IHOT Operations Plan
	Are incubation practices written?				4	None supplied to inspection team	
	Incubation Type 1: <u>Vertical Tray</u> (see PM #8)				4	Review of records/Discussion. Use lower	Follow IHOT criteria for loading and
	Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?					flow than criteria.	flow criteria

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Incubation Type 2: (see PM #8)	4				Review of records/Discussion	
Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?						

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			ı	1	1	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#19	Rearing practices						
	Are specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery				4	Review of IHOT Hatchery Operations	Develop specific rearing standards for
	operations plan?					Plan	IHOT Operations Plan
	Are rearing practices written?				4	See above	See above
	Rearing Unit Type 1: Pond 15 (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Split fish earlier to avoid exceeding
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	Density Index
	Rearing Unit Type 2: Raceways 1-10						
	(see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Rearing Unit Type 3: Pond 16 (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No	
	Rearing Unit Type 4: Pond 17 (see PM #9)					
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion
#20	Smolt quality					
	Do you produce a high quality smolt?		4			Discussion

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Statu	IS	·	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No		Compliance
#21	Fish health management practices	IVA	168	•	140		
	Are the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	conducted? (PM #26)						
	Are the annual broodstock inspections being		4		<u>.</u>	Review of records/Discussion	
	conducted? (PM #27)						
	Is there pathogen-free water (PM #5h)and are the				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #28
	sanitation procedures being followed? (PM #28)						
	canada procedures com grand (2112 in 20)						
	Are the following water quality parameters within						
	criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
	chena. (1141 "3a 3g)						
	Water temperature			4		No data	See PM #5a
	Dissolved gases			4		No data	See PM #5b
	Chemistry			4		No data	See PM #5c
	Turbidity			4		No data	See PM #5d
	Alkalinity and hardness			4		No data	See PM #5e
	Nitrite			4		No data	See PM #5f
	Contaminants			4		No data	See PM #5g
	Are rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
(PM #31)						

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					•	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#22a	Does hatchery performance meet requirements						
	outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in						
	subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas?						
#22a1	Percent smoltification						
	Do you measure percent smoltification?				4	Discussion	Develop smoltification goal and monitor
	Did you meet the smoltification criteria?			4		Discussion	See above
#22a2	Rearing density (prior to release)						
	Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to release?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22a3	Disease condition (at release)						
	Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to release?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22a4	Number (at release)						
	Did you meet the release number goal?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Revise release goal to match ESA goal
#22a5	Size at release						
	Did you meet the size goal?			4		No information provided	Document size at release

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

of release						
you meet the release date goal?			4		No information provided	Document release date
ion of release						
you release the fish at the specified location?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
sh reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the						
sin?						
the fish reared in the subbasin?		4			Discussion	
the fish acclimated in the subbasin?		4			Discussion	
release strategy appropriate for the program?		4			Discussion	
i s	you release the fish at the specified location? h reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the sin? the fish reared in the subbasin? the fish acclimated in the subbasin?	you release the fish at the specified location? h reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the sin? the fish reared in the subbasin? the fish acclimated in the subbasin?	you release the fish at the specified location? 4 h reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the sin? the fish reared in the subbasin? 4 the fish acclimated in the subbasin?	you release the fish at the specified location? th reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the sin? the fish reared in the subbasin? 4 the fish acclimated in the subbasin?	you release the fish at the specified location? the reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the sin? the fish reared in the subbasin? 4 the fish acclimated in the subbasin? 4	you release the fish at the specified location? 4 Review of records/Discussion h reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the sin? the fish reared in the subbasin? 4 Discussion Discussion

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Stati	us	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#23	Transportation facilities	IV/A	Tes	•	110		
	Do transportation equipment and personnel receive disinfection before and after use?	4				Fish are reared and released on-station	
	Is the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 200 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or formaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity of 60% for 2 hrs)?	4				See above	
	Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?	4				See above	
	Is the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% stock solution/liter water)?	4				See above	
	Is other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, nets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and other equipment using one of the following solutions?	4				See above	

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes						
600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30						
minutes						
200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes	4				See above	
Do personnel wear protective garments when handling	4			!	See above	
fish eggs or cultural water?						
rish eggs of cultural water:						
Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive	4				See above	
	4				See above	
an inspection and service prior to the release season?						
Is a daily service inspection completed before starting	4				See above	
up and leaving for the day?						
				l		

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#23	Transportation facilities	14/11	103	•	110		
(cont)							
	Does the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior	4				Fish are reared and released on-station	
	to loading?						
	Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water	4				See above	
	level, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system						
	settings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling						
	density tables checked and reviewed occur prior to						
	loading fish in the transport unit?						
	Do hauling criteria include checking the fish 45	4				See above	
	minutes to 1 hour after loading?						
	When fish are active and systems are functioning	4				See above	
	properly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and						
	maintained at approximately 8 ppm?						
	Is water temperature in the transportation unit	4				See above	
	maintained within the 42-48 °F range?						

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Do fish releasing procedures include the following						
criteria?						
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.	4				See above	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.	4				See above	
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.	4				See above	

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#24	Evaluation practices						
	Has the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies to:						
	Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?		4			Discussion	
	Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?		4			Discussion	
	Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?		4			Discussion	
	Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?		4			Discussion	
	Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#25	Training practices						
	Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Develop training schedule for staff
	Does each staff member have a personal training plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status		1S	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#26	Are monthly hatchery monitoring visits being	IV/A	Tes	•	110		
	conducted by a qualified fish health specialist as						
	described below?						
	Conduct visit at least monthly		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Monitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Examine a representative sample of healthy and		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	moribund fish from each lot.						
	Review fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Report finding and results of necropsies on standard		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	form.						
	Recommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Summarize fish health status or stock prior to release or		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	transfer to another facility.						
#27	Are all of the functions of the hatchery yearly						
	monitoring visits being completed as described below?						
	l	I				l	I

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No	
Annually examine each broodstock for the presence of		4			Review of records/Discussion
reportable viral pathogens.					
Annually screen each salmon broodstock for the presence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .		4			Review of records/Discussion
Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of qualified fish health specialist.		4			Review of records/Discussion

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		DT/A	.	l .		Non-Compliance	Compliance
#28	Is the hatchery following accepted sanitation	N/A	Yes	?	No		
	procedures?						
	Are there any sources of pathogen-free water,		4			Discussion	
	especially for incubation and early rearing?						
	Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and						
	being followed as described below?						
	Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install foot baths in incubation facilities
	incubation facilityÕs entrance and exit?						
	Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use						
	elsewhere in the hatchery?						
	Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?						
	Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No	
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion
fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with					
any other fish lots or at any other location?					
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#29	Are water quality parameters being followed?						
	Are the following water quality parameters within						
	criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
	Water temperature			4		No data provided	See PM #5a
	Dissolved gases			4		No data provided	See PM #5b
	Chemistry			4		No data provided	See PM #5c
	Turbidity			4		No data provided	See PM #5d
	Alkalinity and hardness			4		No data provided	See PM #5e
	Nitrite			4		No data provided	See PM #5f
	Contaminants			4		No data provided	See PM #5g
	Go to PM #21						
#30	Are incubation and rearing standards being followed?						
	Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #18
	Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
	Go to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19						
#31	Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		4			Discussion	

Table 2	Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook	Compliance With Performance Measures

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status		18	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			1	1	•	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#32	Is the hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin		4			Columbia Basin System Planning	
	management plan?					Production Plan and Wells Mitigation	
						(FERC Agreement)	
	Go to subbasin plan PM #1						
#33	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery		4			Review of IHOT Operations Plan	
	operational plan?						
	Go to operational plan PM #2						
#34	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?				4	No information provided	Develop hatchery monitoring and
							evaluation plan for the IHOT Operations
							Plan
	Go to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3	1					

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#35	Does the hatchery program meet requirements established in the regional hatchery policies and subbasin planning documents in the following areas: species, stock, broodstock collection location, broodstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy,	N/A	Yes	?	No		
	and spawning and egg-take protocols?						
	Does the hatchery program meet the requirements for the following?						
	Species protocols (PM #4a)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Stock protocols (PM #4a)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #42c
	Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	18	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#36	Does the hatchery's performance meet requirements outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas: percent smoltification, rearing density, disease condition, and the number, size date(s), and location of release?		730				
	Percent smoltification (PM #22a1)			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a1
	Rearing density (PM #22a2)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Disease condition (PM #22a3)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Number at release (PM #22a4)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a4
	Size at release (PM #22a5)			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a5
	Date of release (PM #22a6)			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a6
	Location of release (PM #22a7)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#37	Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the		4			Discussion	
	subbasin?						
#38	See PM #22b Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?		4			Discussion	
	See PM #22c						

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		18	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
		27/1			T	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#39	For new programs, has a broodstock collection plan	N/A	Yes	?	No		
#37							
	been developed?						
	Is the broodstock collection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	For a non-captive broodstock program:	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?						
	Was the recommended number of broodstock	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	collected?						
	For a captive broodstock program:						
	Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	propagating the next generation of the captive						
	broodstock program?						
	Were full-sib crosses avoided?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	Is the broodstock collection plan understood and being	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	followed by staff?						

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No	
#40	For a new program, was the donor selection outline					
	followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?					
#40a	Is a donor selection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply
#40b	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the broodstock?	4				Existing Program; does not apply
#40c	Was the target stock recommended in the donor selection process actually used?	4				Existing Program; does not apply

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#41	For existing programs, were the broodstock collection						
	procedures followed?						
#41a	Is the broodstock collection plan written?		4			Review of broodstock collection plan	
	Does the broodstock collection plan follow the guideline:						
#41b	Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?		4			Discussion	
#41c	Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?		4			Discussion	
#41d	Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?				4	Discussion	Provide training on broodstock collection procedures

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female						
ratios, and fertilization protocols used?						
Are the spawning protocols written?		4			Review of spawning protocols	
Are daily or weekly spawning logs available?		4			Review of records	
Was the appropriate number of spawners used?				4	Discussion	Improve adult returns
Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and		4			Discussion	
randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits?						
Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards?		4			Discussion	
Were the fertilization protocols followed?		4			Discussion	
If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of each male/female cross?		4			Discussion	
	Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? Are the spawning protocols written? Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of	N/A Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? Are the spawning protocols written? Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of	Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? Are the spawning protocols written? Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? 4 If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of	Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? Are the spawning protocols written? Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? 4 If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of	Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? Are the spawning protocols written? Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of	Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? Are the spawning protocols written? Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of

 Table 2
 Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statı	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#43	Is there a genetics monitoring and evaluation program	IVA	Tes	•	110		
	in place?						
	Is a genetics monitoring and evaluation program available?				4	No plan	Develop approved genetics monitoring and evaluation program
	Does the plan address the following elements listed in IHOT:						
	Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?				4	Discussion	See above
	Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?				4	Discussion	See above
	Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?				4	Discussion	See above
	Is the program understood and followed by staff?				4	Discussion	See above

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

Туре	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance
	Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly
	definable at this time

Remedial Actions at Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates (\pm 40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action.

Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs¹
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human		
control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
Improve adult returns		42
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or		
procedures		0
Develop written monitoring and evaluation plan for the IHOT		3
Operations Plan	 	
Document egg take		4c
Document eyed-egg to fry survival		4e
Document fry-to-smolt survival		4f
Document smolt production		4g
Develop smolt-to-adult survival goal for IHOT		4h
Review IHOT criteria for rearing		5a
Review IHOT requirement for disease-free water for rearing		5h
Develop alarm log		6
Follow IHOT requirements for daily checking of water flow alarms and		6
weekly checking of other alarms		
Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation		12
Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT		18-19
Operations Plan		
Follow IHOT incubator criteria for loading and flow		18
Split fish earlier to avoid exceeding density index		19

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs²
Type 2 (Continued) - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency		
policies or procedures		
Develop smoltification goal and monitor		22a1
Revise IHOT release goal to match ESA goal		22a4
Document size at release and date of releases		22a5-
		22a6
Develop training schedule for staff		25
Install foot baths in incubation facilities		28
Provide training on broodstock collection procedures		41
Develop approved genetics monitoring and evaluation program		43
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage		
or interval		
Document DO and TGP levels		5b
Document water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness,		5c-5g
nitrite, and contaminants		
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Install auto dialer and pagers	\$5,000	6
Install intake screen that meets the current approach and mesh criteria	\$2,000,00	10
	0	
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital		
expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
None		

Hatchery Contribution to

Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:

Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook

Year	Fisheries	Spawning Grounds ¹	Hatchery ¹	Total Combined Contribution	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	
1983					
1984					

Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

1985					
1986	693	No information	351	1,044	0.27%
		provided			
1987	419	No information	194	613	0.11%
		provided			
1988	193	No information	193	386	0.10%
		provided			
1989	155	No information	95	250	0.16%
		provided			
1990	31	No information	23	54	0.02%
		provided			
1991					
1992					

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Tables 5a, 5b, 5c, etc).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook

Hatchery	1993	1994	1995
Wells Hatchery	\$292,905	\$282,424	\$292,500
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Program Costs	\$292,905	\$282,424	\$292,500

The total expenditures for the Wells Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Table 6a, 6b, 6c, etc).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Wells Hatchery

Program	1993	1994	1995
1. Summer Chinook	\$292,905	\$282,424	\$292,500
2. Summer Steelhead	\$358,522	\$345,185	\$357,500
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$651,858	\$627,609	\$650,000

Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Wells Hatchery - Summer Chinook

Expenditure Occurring at Wells Hatchery

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs	\$651,858	\$627,609	\$650,000
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$651,858	\$627,609	\$650,000
Source of Funds			
Douglas County PUD	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	61,200	61,200	61,200
Total Production (lb)	136,200	136,200	136,200
Program as Percent of Total	45%	45%	45%
Program Costs	\$292,905	\$282,424	\$292,500

When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Wells Hatchery by Program

Summer Chinook

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs	\$651,858	\$627,609	\$650,000
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$651,858	\$627,609	\$650,000
Source of Funds			
Douglas County PUD	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	61,200	61,200	61,200
Total Production (lb)	136,200	136,200	136,200
Program as Percent of Total	45%	45%	45%
Program Costs	\$292,905	\$282,424	\$292,500

When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Wells Hatchery by Program

Summer Steelhead

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs	\$651,858	\$627,609	\$650,000
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$651,858	\$627,609	\$650,000
Source of Funds			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Douglas County PUD	100%	100%	100%
2 0 3 10 0 0 10 1 0 2			
Program Production (lb)	75,000	75,000	75,000
Total Production (lb)	136,200	136,200	136,200
Program as Percent of Total	55%	55%	55%
Program Costs	\$358,522	\$345,185	\$357,500

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT

When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.